

Michael O. Leavitt Governor Kathleen Clarke Executive Director Lowell P. Braxton 801-359-3940 (Fax)
Division Director 801-538-7223 (TDD)

1594 West North Temple, Suite 1210 PO Box 145801 Salt Lake City, Utah 84114-5801 801-538-5340 801-359-3940 (Fax)

March 15, 2001

Mr. Steve Flechner North Lily Mining Company 1800 Glenarm Place Denver, Colorado 80202

Re:

Approval of Request for Partial Bond Release, North Lily Mining Company, Tintic Project, M/023/007, Juab County, Utah

Dear Mr. Flechner:

On January 25, 2001, North Lily made a formal request for partial bond release for reclamation work completed at North Lily's Tintic Project. North Lily requested that \$99,540 be released from the total reclamation bond of \$191,500. The reduction amount was based upon the itemized costs contained in the current reclamation bond estimate. Your letter requested credit for the following reclamation tasks:

- ½ equipment mobilization costs
- heap recontouring/reshaping
- partial completion of site ripping
- deletion of topsoil replacement requirement & application of composted manure
- reseeding of recontoured heap (no dollars requested at this time)

We have reviewed the reclamation tasks performed and the dollar amounts requested. Division staff have performed onsite inspections confirming that these tasks are complete. With the exception of the equipment mobilization costs, we believe that a partial bond release is justified. The equipment mobilization cost was not released because overall site reclamation has not been completed yet. The construction equipment will need to be brought back to the site to complete the final reclamation.

It is important to note that we have also given credit for additional reclamation tasks (and some contingency costs) that have been completed but were not requested in your January 25th letter. These credits are outlined in the revised bond estimate (copy attached).

When the most recent \$191,500 reclamation bond estimate was calculated, no decision had been made regarding what treatment method would be used to handle the heap drain-down solutions. This reclamation cost was accordingly not included in the bond estimate. Design plans for a subsurface leach field has recently been prepared and submitted to the Division and DWQ to handle the residual drain-down solution. This system will become an integral part of the closure plan for this project. Accordingly, the anticipated construction costs need to be included in the cost estimate at this time.

Page 2 Steve Flechner North Lily Mining Company March 15, 2001

JBR has recently provided estimated construction costs for the proposed draindown system to the Division. We have added our standard contingency and escalation costs to the estimate. Because this site will also require post-closure monitoring and water sampling for an extended period of time, projected costs for these activities have also been included in the surety calculation. The total projected cost for the post-closure fluid management system and the long term monitoring is estimated at \$85,400.

A revised reclamation cost estimate is attached reflecting the Division's updated calculations. Roughly \$121,800 would have been eligible for release before the \$85,400 post-closure fluid management system and monitoring costs were factored into the bond estimate. The balance that can now be released for the completed reclamation work is \$36,400.

The Division will proceed to forward the necessary paperwork to your banking institution authorizing release of these funds to North Lily for direct application and use in completing the remaining closure and reclamation tasks at the Tintic project site.

The Division wishes to thank North Lily for moving forward with the reclamation of this site through some difficult situations. We look forward to the completion of the reclamation of the site this summer.

If you have any questions in this regard, please call me or Wayne Hedberg of my staff at (801) 538-5306 and 538-5286, respectively.

Sincerely,

Mary Ann Wright

Associate Director, Mining

ił

Attachment: Revised Reclamation Cost Estimate

ce: Dennis Frederick, DWQ

Bob Bayer, JBR

Wayne Hedberg, DOGM

Doug Jensen, DOGM

M23-07.BondRed.doc

| RECLAMATION SURETY ESTIMATE |                    |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
| lorth Lily Mining Company   | last rev. 03/01/01 |
| intic Project               | filename M023-00   |
| 1/023/007                   | Juab County        |

Prepared by Utah State Division of Oil, Gas & Mining
-This estimate uses a D8 size dozer for most earthwork

Average cost per disturbed acre =

Acreage Totals
Heaps - 16.6 Buildings - 1 Topsoil Area - 3.2 Borrow - 2.6 Ponds - 1.2

Release Release Status Requested **Authorized** 08/25/99 Note: actual unit costs may vary according to site condition last unit cost updat Amount of disturbed area which will receive reclamation treatments -Estimated total disturbed area for this mine = 24.6 acres Activity Quantity Units \$/unit Demolition of buildings & facilities 5,000 1 lump su 480 Debris & equipment removal - trucking 10 trips 48 5,500 Debris & equipment removal - dump fees 100 ton 55 2,816 Debris & equipment removal - loading trucks w/F 16 hours 176 900 Demolition & debris removal - general labor 60 hours 15 470 470 Regrading facilities areas (1 ft depth) 1.0 acre 10,000 partial \$8,500 Hazardous Waste Disposal lump su 10000 1 12,220 Comp. \$12,220 0.47 \$12,220 26,000 CY Regrading heap slopes Regrade Solution Ponds 12,000 CY 0.47 5.640 1,276 partial \$572 Ripping stockpile & compacted areas 220 5.8 acre 220 4,136 partial \$3,160 \$3,160 Ripping site - prior to seeding 18.8 acre Topsoil replacement - truck & FE loader 38,000 CY 2.55 96,900 partial \$77,520 \$77,520 \$5,640 **\$5,640** Composted manure (10 ton/acre) 300 7,380 partial 24.6 acre 4,182 partial \$3,100 Broadcast seeding 24.6 acre 170 1,070 General site cleanup & trash removal 21.4 acre 50 1000 2,000 Incomp. \$1,000 \$0 Equipment mobilization 2 equip 372 Reclamation Supervision 3,720 10 days 163,690 Subtotal \$99.540 \$110,712 Subtotal 10% Contingency 16,369 \$11,071 Subtotal \$180,059 \$121,783 Escalate for 2 years at 3.13% per yr 11,448 Total \$191,507 Rounded surety amount in yr 2002-\$ \$191,500

| Bonding Calculations for<br>Additional Activities                      |         |             |         |              |
|--|---------|-------------|---------|--------------|
| Costruction of Post Closure Fluid Management System                    |         | Lump<br>Sum |         | \$59,798.00  |
| Construction Supervision   | 8       | Days        | \$372   | \$2,976.00   |
| Post-Closure Monitoring/analysis Labor, sample collection, sample prep | 5 years | per year    | \$1500  | \$7,500.00   |
| and handling, data reporting, site mont.                               | 5       | year        | \$1,000 | \$5,000.00   |
|  |         | Subtotal    |         | \$75,274.00  |
| 10% Contingency  |         |             |         | \$7,527.40   |
|  |         | Subtotal    |         | \$82,801.40  |
| Escalate for1 years at 3.13% per yr                                    |         |             |         | \$2,591.68   |
|  |         | Total       |         | \$85,393.08  |
|  | Rounded | Amount      |         | \$85,400.00  |
| North Lily bond Release Authorization                                  |         |             |         | \$121,800.00 |
| Additional Bonded Activities Cost                                      |         |             |         | \$85,400.00  |
| Bond Amount Authorized for Release                                     |         | Total       |         | \$36,400.00  |
| Present North Lily Bond Amount   |         |             |         | \$191,500.00 |
| Authorized Release Amount  |         |             |         | \$36,400.00  |
| Bond Amount Remaining for Final Reclamation                            | _       |             |         | \$155,100.00 |
|  |         |             |         |              |

\$7,785